



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/784,569      | 02/23/2004  | Uma Arun             | GP-304038 (2760/158) | 1071             |

7590

12/01/2005

General Motors Corporation  
Mail Code 482-C23-B21  
300 Renaissance Center  
P.O. Box 300  
Detroit, MI 48265-3000

EXAMINER

PENDLETON, BRIAN T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2644

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/784,569

**Applicant(s)**

ARUN, UMA

**Examiner**

Brian T. Pendleton

**Art Unit**

2644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21 and 23-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21 and 23-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21, and 23-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/13/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that Cairns teaches away from using a GPS system as a vehicle input. However, paragraph 12 explicitly states that vehicle conditions encompasses conditions related to the physical mechanical/electrical condition of the vehicle. The location of a vehicle and road bumpiness (see rejection below) are physical conditions of the vehicle. Furthermore, parameters such as ambient noise level are the only conditions that are not considered by the noise reduction algorithm in Cairns. Applicant has mischaracterized the reference.

In addition, the Remarks regarding the rejections and their traversal are confusing to Examiner. On one hand, Applicant traverses a rejection to a set of claims, but on the other hand, the set of claims is cancelled.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns in view of Schubert, US Patent 6,898,501. Cairns discloses a method and apparatus of tuning a hands-free system comprising hands-free adapter 30, noise reduction control device 40,

Art Unit: 2644

microphone array 14 and mobile unit 20. As shown in figure 3, vehicle conditions and detected and noise parameters based on vehicle conditions are used to modify a noise reduction algorithm. Cairns does not disclose that the vehicle condition inputs include at least one road input based on global position coordinates. Schubert disclose a vibration reduction system for a vehicle comprising controller 200, vehicle control system 250 which has a positioning control system 256. Vehicle inputs such as tool usage, steering, speed, etc. are used by the controller to accomplish vibration control. Positioning control system 256 comprises positioning control circuit 300 which is coupled to GPS system 328. The global position coordinates along with geographical information from map 350 is used to generate a road input (bumpiness). As taught in column 18 lines 32-37, the vibration control system (ACS 26) changes its algorithm based on the level of bumpiness (which is a physical and mechanical condition of a vehicle). Thus, Schubert discloses receiving at least one road input based on global position coordinates. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the global positioning system and geographical information map taught by Schubert in the invention of Cairns for the purpose of improving the performance of the noise reduction control device 40 since it was suggested to use vehicle conditions which relate to its physical and mechanical condition. Claim 21 is met. As to claim 26, obviously a change in global coordinates which results in a road type (bumpiness) change (determined by geographical information map 350), would adjust the noise suppression algorithm appropriately.

Claims 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns in view of Schubert as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Venkatesh et al, US Patent 6,674,865. The combination of Cairns and Schubert does not disclose that the vehicle

Art Unit: 2644

condition inputs includes an external vehicle climate input based on weather outside the vehicle.

Venkatesh discloses a volume control system. In column 2 lines 15-27, it was suggested that

noise reduction using a filter in a vehicle depends on road surface and weather. Therefore

Venkatesh discloses a vehicle input for a noise reduction algorithm can be based on an external

vehicle climate input. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

invention to modify the combination of Cairns and Schubert by implementing an external

climate condition input which is based on weather outside of the vehicle (as taught by

Venkatesh) as a vehicle input to the noise reduction control device 40 for the purpose of further

improving the noise reduction capabilities of the hands-free unit. One would have been

motivated to use external climate since it relates to a physical condition of the vehicle.

Claims 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stankewitz, US Patent Application Publication 2004/0142672 in view of Schubert. In the figure, Stankewitz discloses a method for suppressing noise for a hands-free phone in a motor vehicle comprising receiving a plurality of vehicle conditions via a communication bus (step 11), creating a noise parameter based on the conditions (step 12) and adjusting a noise suppression algorithm based on the noise parameter (step 14). Stankewitz does not disclose that the vehicle condition inputs include at least one road input based on global position coordinates. Schubert disclose a vibration reduction system for a vehicle comprising controller 200, vehicle control system 250 which has a positioning control system 256. Vehicle inputs such as tool usage, steering, speed, etc. are used by the controller to accomplish vibration control. Positioning control system 256 comprises positioning control circuit 300 which is coupled to GPS system 328. The global position coordinates along with geographical information from map 350 is used

Art Unit: 2644

to generate a road input (bumpiness). As taught in column 18 lines 32-37, the vibration control system (ACS 26) changes its algorithm based on the level of bumpiness (which is a physical and mechanical condition of a vehicle). Thus, Schubert discloses receiving at least one road input based on global position coordinates. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the global positioning system and geographical information map taught by Schubert in the invention of Stankewitz for the purpose of improving the performance of the noise suppression. Claim 21 is met. As to claim 26, obviously a change in global coordinates which results in a road type (bumpiness) change (determined by geographical information map 350), would adjust the noise suppression algorithm appropriately.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stankewitz in view of Schubert as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Tomisawa et al, US Patent 5,850,458. The combination of Stankewitz and Schubert does not disclose an audio-device input based on the type and intensity of the ambient noise as a vehicle condition input. In figure 7, Tomisawa et al disclose a method of noise suppression in a vehicle comprising microphone 46, air flow meter 10, crank angle sensor 11, throttle sensor 12, and temperature sensor 13, the meter, and sensors representing vehicle condition inputs. The CPU 9 computes a control signal for output through speaker 45 for controlling the noise level in the vehicle. Thus, Tomisawa teaches using an audio device input (microphone 46) along with other vehicle condition inputs for controlling noise. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Stankewitz and Schubert per the teachings of Tomisawa and include a microphone for receiving the ambient noise level for the purpose of improving the noise suppression algorithm's capabilities.

Claims 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stankewitz in view of Schubert as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Venkatesh et al. The combination of Stankewitz and Schubert does not disclose that the vehicle condition inputs includes an external vehicle climate input based on weather outside the vehicle. Venkatesh discloses a volume control system. In column 2 lines 15-27, it was suggested that noise reduction using a filter in a vehicle depends on road surface and weather. Therefore Venkatesh discloses a vehicle input for a noise reduction algorithm can be based on an external vehicle climate input. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Stankewitz and Schubert by implementing an external climate condition input which is based on weather outside of the vehicle (as taught by Venkatesh) as a vehicle input to the noise suppression algorithm for the purpose of further improving the noise reduction capabilities of the hands-free unit. One would have been motivated to use external climate since it is a vehicle parameter.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns in view of Schubert as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Grivas et al, US Patent Application Publication 2005/0130723. The combination of Cairns and Schubert does not disclose receiving the road input from a call center using a wireless carrier system. Grivas et al discloses a hands-free telephone system in vehicle 209 utilizing telematics unit 208 which communicates with communications node 204 via wireless carrier. The telematics unit 208 is coupled to telematics functionality module 250 which is coupled to a hands-free module 275, noise cancellation module 276 and other applications. A global positioning system (GPS) is integrated in the system. The telematics unit communicates with a call center (see paragraph 34). Examiner takes

Art Unit: 2644

Official Notice that telematics units transmitted and received information from call centers for the purpose of controlling vehicle operations such as door unlocking, remote access and starting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a call center to transmit a road input based on GPS in the combination of Cairns and Schubert, for the purpose of modifying the noise suppression algorithm in a vehicle using telematics.

Claims 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns in view of Schubert and further in view of Grivas et al. Cairns discloses a method and apparatus of tuning a hands-free system comprising hands-free adapter 30, noise reduction control device 40, microphone array 14 and mobile unit 20. As shown in figure 3, vehicle conditions and detected and noise parameters based on vehicle conditions are used to modify a noise reduction algorithm. Therefore Cairns discloses adjusting a noise parameter for a hands-free system. Cairns does not disclose adjusting the noise parameter based on a received road input. Schubert discloses a vibration reduction system for a vehicle comprising controller 200, vehicle control system 250 which has a positioning control system 256. Vehicle inputs such as tool usage, steering, speed, etc. are used by the controller to accomplish vibration control. Positioning control system 256 comprises positioning control circuit 300 which is coupled to GPS system 328. The global position coordinates along with geographical information from map 350 is used to generate a road input (bumpiness). As taught in column 18 lines 32-37, the vibration control system (ACS 26) changes its algorithm based on the level of bumpiness (which is a physical and mechanical condition of a vehicle). Thus, Schubert discloses adjusting a noise parameter based on a received road input and determining if the vehicle has moved onto a new road based on a GPS



Art Unit: 2644

location. As stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the global positioning system and geographical information map taught by Schubert in the invention of Cairns for the purpose of improving the performance of the noise reduction control device 40 by adjusting the noise suppression algorithm based on a road input. The combination of Cairns and Schubert does not disclose that the road input is received from a call center. . Grivas et al discloses a hands-free telephone system in vehicle 209 utilizing telematics unit 208 which communicates with communications node 204 via wireless carrier. The telematics unit 208 is coupled to telematics functionality module 250 which is coupled to a hands-free module 275, noise cancellation module 276 and other applications. A global positioning system (GPS) is integrated in the system. The telematics unit communicates with a call center (see paragraph 34). Examiner takes Official Notice that telematics units transmitted and received information from call centers for the purpose of controlling vehicle operations such as door unlocking, remote access and starting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a call center to transmit a road input based on GPS in the combination of Cairns and Schubert, for the purpose of modifying the noise suppression algorithm in a vehicle using telematics. Claims 28, 30, 33, 35, and 36 are met. As to claims 31 and 32, Grivas discloses a GPS location system in the vehicle and it was obvious to use a call center to determine a road input based on a received GPS location and database and send that road input to the mobile vehicle for noise suppression.

Claims 29 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns in view of Schubert and further in view of Grivas as applied to claims 28 and 33 above, and further in view of Venkatesh et al. The combination of Cairns, Schubert, and Grivas does not

disclose that the noise suppression algorithm is adjusted in response to an external vehicle climate input. Venkatesh discloses a volume control system. In column 2 lines 15-27, it was suggested that noise reduction using a filter in a vehicle depends on road surface and weather. Therefore Venkatesh discloses a vehicle input for a noise reduction algorithm can be based on an external vehicle climate input. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Cairns, Schubert, and Grivas by implementing an external climate condition input which is based on weather outside of the vehicle (as taught by Venkatesh) as a vehicle input to the noise reduction control device 40 for the purpose of further improving the noise reduction capabilities of the hands-free unit. One would have been motivated to use external climate since it relates to a physical condition of the vehicle.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian T. Pendleton  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2644



btp